Modelling of Priors

For Bayesian Classification

Contents

- Modelling of the Prior
- Priors from experiments
- Uninformed Priors
- Bayesian Classification: Discussion

Modelling of Prior

- So far, we have discussed how to determine the likelihood *p*(**x**|*C*) (training)
- Now, it needs to discuss how to determine the prior *p*(*C*)
- To determine posterior *p*(*C*|**x**) with the help of the theorem of Bayes, in a form of synthetic data sets by sampling from the joint distribution $p(x, C) = p(x|C) \cdot p(C) \rightarrow$ Generative Classifiers
- Possible origins of priors:
	- **1) From experiments,** e.g. in the case of sequential data: the prior for the classification at time *t* depends on the state at time *t*-1
	- **2) "Uninformed" / subjective:** from prior knowledge (... from whichever source)

- Requirement: the prior distribution should have the same algebraic form as the likelihood function \rightarrow "Conjugate Prior"
- Example: Estimation of the parameter μ of a Bernoulli distribution with x^x . $(1 - \mu)^{(1-x)}$
	- *N* experiments
		- in $n₊$ cases the result is "1"
		- in *n* cases the result is "0"
		- $n_{+} + n_{-} = N$
		- \rightarrow Maximum Likelihood estimation: $\mu = n_{+} / N$ *Can lead to overfitting* \rightarrow prior for μ ?

- Bayesian estimation of μ : $p(\mu | n_+) \propto p(n_+ | \mu) \cdot p(\mu)$
- $p(n_+ | \mu)$ follows a binomial distribution :

$$
p(n_{+} | \mu) = \frac{N!}{n_{+}! (N - n_{+})!} \mu^{n_{+}} \cdot (1 - \mu)^{N - n_{+}}
$$

- Priori distribution for μ ?
	- Conjugate prior: Beta distribution with hyperparameters *a, b*:

$$
p(\mu) = p(\mu | a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a) \cdot \Gamma(b)} \cdot \mu^{a-1} \cdot (1-\mu)^{b-1}
$$

• Resulting posterior:

$$
p(\mu \mid n_{+}) \propto p(n_{+} \mid \mu) \cdot p(\mu) \propto \mu^{n_{+}+a-1} \cdot (1-\mu)^{N-n_{+}+b-1}
$$

• Resulting posterior:

 $\left(\left.\mu\mid\mathsf{n}_{\scriptscriptstyle{+}}\right.\right)\varpropto p(\mathsf{n}_{\scriptscriptstyle{+}}\mid\mu)\cdot p(\mu)\varpropto\mu^{^{\mathsf{n}_{\scriptscriptstyle{+}}+\mathsf{a}-1}}\cdot\left(1\!-\!\mu\right)^{\!\mathsf{N-n}_{\scriptscriptstyle{+}}+\mathsf{b}-1}$ $\mu_{_+}$) \propto ρ ($n_{_+}$ | μ) \cdot ρ (μ) \propto μ $^+$ $^-\cdot$ (1 $\mathsf{p}(\mu\,|\,\mathsf{n}_{\scriptscriptstyle{+}})\!\propto\mathsf{p}(\mathsf{n}_{\scriptscriptstyle{+}}\,|\,\mu)\!\cdot\!\mathsf{p}(\mu)\!\propto\mu^{n_{\scriptscriptstyle{+}}+{\color{black}a\!-\!1}}\!\cdot\!{\color{black}(1\!-\!\mu)}^{\mathsf{N}\!-\mathsf{n}_{\scriptscriptstyle{+}}+{\color{black}b\!-\!1}}$

• Interpretation:

 $≥$ **a** -1 ... The number of trials with *x* = 1 from "earlier experiments", which formed the basis of the prior

- \triangleright b -1 ... The number of trials with $x = 0$ from "earlier" experiments", which formed the basis of the prior
- Simplifies the processing of sequential data

• Conjugate priors for other distributions :

Uninformed Priors

- A priori probabilities from minimal additional information
- Subjective priors (without measurements / experiments)

 \rightarrow Principle of Maximum Entropy (ME):

$$
p_{\scriptscriptstyle ME} = \text{argmax}_{\scriptscriptstyle p} \int\limits_{\scriptscriptstyle X} -p(x) \text{log}_{2} p(x) dx
$$

• Prior knowledge concerning the value range or moments of the distribution can be used to formulate of constraints for p_{MF}

Uninformed Priors

• Example for ME-Priors:

– Known value range with $a \le x \le b$: $\int p(x)$ = ᆖ $\int p(x) dx = 1$ *b x a p ^x dx*

→ Uniform distribution in the interval (*a*,*b*)

 \rightarrow also applies for (-∞, +∞) \rightarrow in this case: ML-classification!

– Known expected value *m*, *x* ≥ 0: $\int x \cdot p(x) dx = m$

 \rightarrow Exponential distribution: $(x) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot e^{-x}$ $=$ \cdot 1 *x* $p(\textit{\textbf{x}}) = -\cdot e^{-m}$ *m*

– Known expected value *m*, known variance *s 2* :

$$
\int_{x} x \cdot p(x) dx = m \qquad \int_{x} (x - m)^2 \cdot p(x) dx = s^2
$$

x

→ Normal distribution *N*(*m*,*s*²)

Bayesian Classification: Discussion

- Bayesian classification (and extensions) has many applications
- There are many variants depending on the models used for the individual components
- Bayesian classification delivers optimal results **if**
	- The assumptions about the likelihood function and the priors are correct
	- The training data are representative for the classes
	- There are enough training data to estimate the parameters of the models reliably
- Problems occur when one of these assumptions is not justified

Bayesian Classification: Discussion

- Examples of problems:
	- Assumption: the assumptions about the likelihood function and the prioris are correct
		- \rightarrow Possible problem: unknown / wrong number of clusters for one or more classes in feature space
	- Assumption: The training data are representative
		- \rightarrow Possible problem: training data only for objects in the sun, not for objects in the shadow
		- Assumption: There are enough training data
			- \rightarrow Posible problem: not enough training data

 \rightarrow reliable determination of the parameters may be impossible

Bayesian Classification: Discussion

- There is no mechanism to take into account uncertainties in the probabilities
- \triangleright If the requirements are not fulfilled, Bayesisan classification may yield suboptimal results
- How to describe the quality of the results?
- How to determine the priors?
- Modelling the distribution of the data may require more parameters and, therefore, more training data than direct models of the posterior distribution
- \triangleright If the requirements are not fulfilled, Bayesisan classification may yield suboptimal results

