

Nonprobabilistic Discriminative Classifiers

Contents

- Boosting
- AdaBoost: Training
- AdaBoost: Multi-class Case
- Probabilities
- Discussion

Boosting: Principle

- **Boosting:** Combination of "weak classifiers" $f_b(x)$ to a strong combined classifier
- Prerequisite: The weak classifiers must provide results that are (at least slightly) better than chance (i.e. an error rate < 50% is sufficient in the two-class case)
- Consequently, very simple classifers can be combined \rightarrow Speed!
- There are different variants of boosting
- Here: AdaBoost (Adaptive Boosting)

Weak Classifiers

- Two-class problem: class $C \in \{ -1, +1 \}$
- "Weak Classifier":
	- A function *h*(**x**) that predicts the class *C* for the feature vector **x,** i.e. $h(x) = \pm 1$
	- Success rate must be > 50% ("better than chance")
	- The better the success rate, the faster boosting will be
	- However, with good classifiers, boosting does not make sense
- Examples for weak classifiers :
	- Decision Stumps and other types of trees

Combination of Weak Classifiers

- AdaBoost links *M* weak classifiers *hm*(**x**)
- Each classifier returns a decision for the class *C*
- Each classifier has a weight α_m that is also determined in training
- Determine the weighted mean *H*(**x**)
- Class label *C*: sign of *H*(**x**)
- *H*(**x**) is a strong classifier

Combination of Weak Classifiers

- Difference to bagging: Classifier *hm*(**x**) depends on the classifiers $h_1(\mathbf{x})$, ..., $h_{m-1}(\mathbf{x})$ learned previously (since classifier is adding to the AdaBoost one after one)
- This is achived by considering a weight w_n^m for each training sample \mathbf{x}_n when learning $h_m(\mathbf{x})$

 \triangleright w_n^m large: \mathbf{x}_n was classified incorrectly by $h_{m-1}(\mathbf{x})$

 \triangleright w_n^m small: \mathbf{x}_n was classified correctly by $h_{m-1}(\mathbf{x})$

- Thus, AdaBoost focuses on "difficult" (incorrectly classified) training samples: if a sample is mis-classified by several weak classifiers in a row, its weight will become larger and larger
- The training error can be made arbitrarily small by increasing *M* (adding weak classifiers)

- Given:
	- *N* feature vectors **x**ⁿ with known class labels *Cⁿ*
	- Number *M* of the classifiers to be learned
	- Type of classifiers to be learned
- Wanted:
	- *M* weak classifiers *hm*(**x**)
	- Weights α_m for the combination of these classifiers

- 1) Initialise the weights: $w_n^1 = 1 / N$ Iteration 1: "normal" learning of $h_1(x)$ (identical weights)
- 2) For *m* = 2, ...*M*: Starting from iteration 2 the training samples have different weights and therefore different influence on the result
	- a) Train the classifier *hm*(**x**) by minimizing the weighted training error *Jm*:

$$
J_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} w_n^m \cdot \delta\left(h_m(\mathbf{x}_n) \neq C_n\right) \quad \text{with} \quad \delta(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \dots & a = true \\ 0 & \dots & a = false \end{cases}
$$

b) Calculate
$$
\varepsilon_m = \frac{J_m}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} w_n^m}
$$
 and weight of h_m : $\alpha_m = \ln\left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon_m}\right)$

(to be continued): The smaller ε_m , the bigger the weight of α_m of $h_m(\mathbf{x})$ \rightarrow Classifiers with small training errors have a larger influence on the result

- c) New weights: $W_n^{m+1} = W_n^m \cdot \exp\{\alpha_m \cdot \delta(h_m(\mathbf{x}_n) \neq C_n)\}$ Each weak classifier is trained so that it is more likely to correctly classify a training sample having a high weight than a sample having a low weight
- d) Normalise the weights w_n^{m+1} such that their sum is 1 The quantity ε_m is the normalized weighted training error J_m of the classifier $h_m(\mathbf{x})$, $\varepsilon_m \in [0, 1]$

- Update of the weights: $w_n^{m+1} = w_n^m \cdot \exp\{\alpha_m \cdot \delta(h_m(\mathbf{x}_n) \neq C_n)\}\$
- Results of the classifier *hm*(**x**) for the training sample **x***ⁿ* :
	- $-$ correct \rightarrow Weight of the sample is not changed: $w_n^{m+1} = w_n^m$
	- not correct \rightarrow The weight of the sample increases: $w^{m+1} = w^m \cdot e^{\alpha}$ $W_n^{m+1} = W_n^m \cdot e^{\alpha_m}$
- The training procedure can be derived mathematically by the sequential minimization of the exponential error function (cf. [Bishop, 2006]):

$$
E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \cdot C_n \cdot f_m(\mathbf{x}_n) \right\} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \cdot C_n \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_k \cdot h_k(\mathbf{x}_n) \right\}
$$

AdaBoost: Multi-Class Case

- AdaBoost can be directly applied to the multi-class case
	- Definition of the weak classifiers *hm*(**x**) must be modified so that their output directly becomes the class label *C* $→$ **AdaBoost.M1**
- Problem:
	- The individual classifiers must still be better than 50% (otherwise α_m becomes negative)
	- This is actually much better rather than "slightly better than chance" (1 / *N^c* with *N^c* classes)
- Possible solution 1: Split problem into several two-class problems
	- One against all or one against one (see SVM)

AdaBoost: Multi-Class Case

- Solution 2: SAMME (Stagewise Additive Modeling using a Multiclass Exponential loss function)
	- Similiar to AdaBoost.M1
	- Difference: Consider the number of classes *N^c* for computing the weights in iteration *m:*

$$
\alpha_m = \ln\left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon_m}\right) + \ln[N_c - 1]
$$

- In case $\varepsilon_m < 0.5$, this modification ensures that $\alpha_m > 0$
- $-$ For $N_c = 2$ is this identical to AdaBoost

Probabilities

- AdaBoost does not deliver probabilities
- For the two-class case one can show that

$$
C = sign\{H(\mathbf{x})\} = sign\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \alpha_{m} \cdot h_{m}(\mathbf{x})\right)
$$

leads to the following interpretation of *H*(**x**): (\mathbf{x}) $(C=1|\mathbf{x})$ $(C = -1 | \mathbf{x})$ $=$ he following
= $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \ln \left\{ \frac{P(C)}{P(C)} \right\}$ Explored that the following interpretation of H
 $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \ln \left\{ \frac{P(C=1|\mathbf{x})}{P(C=-1|\mathbf{x})} \right\}$ $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \ln \left\{ \frac{P(C=1)}{P(C=-1)} \right\}$ ds to the following i
H(**x**) = $\frac{1}{2}$ ·ln $\sqrt{\frac{P(C)}{P(C)}}$ *P C* **x x x**

Therefore, using the logistic Sigmoid function σ $(C = 1 | x) = \frac{1}{4 \sqrt{e^{-2H(x)}}}$ 2 $[P(C = -1 | \mathbf{x})]$

sing the logistic Sigmoid function σ

= 1| **x**) = $\frac{1}{1 + e^{-2\cdot H(\mathbf{x})}} = \sigma(2 \cdot H(\mathbf{x}))$ 1 2 $[P(C = -1 | \mathbf{x})]$

1g the logistic Sigmoid f
 $1 | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-2 \cdot H(\mathbf{x})}} = \sigma(2)$ *P*(*C* = -1|**x**)
 re, using the logistic Sigmoid fund
 $P(C=1 | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-2\cdot H(\mathbf{x})}} = \sigma(2 \cdot H)$ $(P(C = -1 | \mathbf{x}))$
the logistic Sigmoid functic
 $\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-2 \cdot H(\mathbf{x})}} = \sigma(2 \cdot H(\mathbf{x}))$

AdaBoost: Discussion

- AdaBoost can improve the quality of the results significantly above those of the weak classifiers
- AdaBoost is regarded as a very good and fast classifier that is easy to apply
- AdaBoost actually describes a family of classifiers ("meta classifier")
- There are theoretical connections, e.g., to SVM
- AdaBoost is a bit slower than random forests
- AdaBoost can have problems with noisy training data
- Derivation of probabilities is not as clear as with RF

