

Nonprobabilistic Discriminative Classifiers





Contents

- Boosting
- AdaBoost: Training
- AdaBoost: Multi-class Case
- Probabilities
- Discussion

Boosting: Principle

- **Boosting:** Combination of "weak classifiers" $f_b(x)$ to a strong combined classifier
- Prerequisite: The weak classifiers must provide results that are (at least slightly) better than chance (i.e. an error rate < 50% is sufficient in the two-class case)
- Consequently, very simple classifers can be combined
 → Speed!
- There are different variants of boosting
- Here: AdaBoost (Adaptive Boosting)





Weak Classifiers

- Two-class problem: class $C \in \{-1, +1\}$
- "Weak Classifier":
 - A function $h(\mathbf{x})$ that predicts the class C for the feature vector \mathbf{x} , i.e. $h(\mathbf{x}) = \pm 1$
 - Success rate must be > 50% ("better than chance")
 - The better the success rate, the faster boosting will be
 - However, with good classifiers, boosting does not make sense
- Examples for weak classifiers :
 - Decision Stumps and other types of trees



Combination of Weak Classifiers

- AdaBoost links *M* weak classifiers *h_m*(**x**)
- Each classifier returns a decision for the class *C*
- Each classifier has a weight α_m that is also determined in training
- Determine the weighted mean H(x)
- Class label C: sign of H(x)
- *H*(**x**) is a strong classifier



Combination of Weak Classifiers

- Difference to bagging: Classifier $h_m(\mathbf{x})$ depends on the classifiers $h_1(\mathbf{x}), ..., h_{m-1}(\mathbf{x})$ learned previously(since classifier is adding to the AdaBoost one after one)
- This is achived by considering a weight w^m_n for each training sample x_n when learning h_m(x)

 $\succ w_n^m$ large: \mathbf{x}_n was classified incorrectly by $h_{m-1}(\mathbf{x})$

 $\succ w_n^m$ small: \mathbf{x}_n was classified correctly by $h_{m-1}(\mathbf{x})$

- Thus, AdaBoost focuses on "difficult" (incorrectly classified) training samples: if a sample is mis-classified by several weak classifiers in a row, its weight will become larger and larger
- The training error can be made arbitrarily small by increasing *M* (adding weak classifiers)

- Given:
 - N feature vectors \mathbf{x}_n with known class labels C_n
 - Number M of the classifiers to be learned
 - Type of classifiers to be learned
- Wanted:
 - *M* weak classifiers $h_m(\mathbf{x})$
 - Weights α_m for the combination of these classifiers



- 1) Initialise the weights: $w_n^1 = 1 / N$ Iteration 1: "normal" learning of $h_1(\mathbf{x})$ (identical weights)
- For m = 2, ...M:
 Starting from iteration 2 the training samples have different weights and therefore different influence on the result
 - a) Train the classifier $h_m(\mathbf{x})$ by minimizing the weighted training error J_m :

$$J_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} w_{n}^{m} \cdot \delta(h_{m}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) \neq C_{n}) \quad \text{with} \quad \delta(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \dots & a = true \\ 0 & \dots & a = false \end{cases}$$

b) Calculate
$$\mathcal{E}_m = \frac{J_m}{\sum_{n=1}^N W_n^m}$$
 and weight of h_m : $\alpha_m = \ln\left(\frac{1 - \mathcal{E}_m}{\mathcal{E}_m}\right)$



(to be continued): The smaller ε_m , the bigger the weight of α_m of $h_m(\mathbf{x})$ \rightarrow Classifiers with small training errors have a larger influence on the result

- c) New weights: $W_n^{m+1} = W_n^m \cdot \exp\{\alpha_m \cdot \delta(h_m(\mathbf{x}_n) \neq C_n)\}\$ Each weak classifier is trained so that it is more likely to correctly classify a training sample having a high weight than a sample having a low weight
- d) Normalise the weights w_n^{m+1} such that their sum is 1 The quantity ε_m is the normalized weighted training error J_m of the classifier $h_m(\mathbf{x}), \varepsilon_m \in [0, 1]$



- Update of the weights: $W_n^{m+1} = W_n^m \cdot \exp\{\alpha_m \cdot \delta(h_m(\mathbf{x}_n) \neq C_n)\}$
- Results of the classifier $h_m(\mathbf{x})$ for the training sample \mathbf{x}_n :
 - correct \rightarrow Weight of the sample is not changed: $w_n^{m+1} = w_n^m$
 - not correct \rightarrow The weight of the sample increases: $W_n^{m+1} = W_n^m \cdot e^{\alpha_m}$
- The training procedure can be derived mathematically by the sequential minimization of the exponential error function (cf. [Bishop, 2006]):

$$E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \cdot C_n \cdot f_m(\mathbf{x}_n)\right\} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \cdot C_n \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_l \cdot h_l(\mathbf{x}_n)\right\}$$





AdaBoost: Multi-Class Case

- AdaBoost can be directly applied to the multi-class case
 - Definition of the weak classifiers h_m(x) must be modified so that their output directly becomes the class label C
 → AdaBoost.M1
- Problem:
 - The individual classifiers must still be better than 50% (otherwise α_m becomes negative)
 - This is actually much better rather than "slightly better than chance" (1 / N_c with N_c classes)
- Possible solution 1: Split problem into several two-class problems
 - One against all or one against one (see SVM)



AdaBoost: Multi-Class Case

- Solution 2: SAMME (Stagewise Additive Modeling using a Multiclass Exponential loss function)
 - Similiar to AdaBoost.M1
 - Difference: Consider the number of classes N_c for computing the weights in iteration *m*:

$$\alpha_m = \ln\left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon_m}\right) + \ln\left[N_c - 1\right]$$

- In case ϵ_m < 0.5, this modification ensures that α_m > 0
- For $N_c = 2$ is this identical to AdaBoost



Probabilities

- AdaBoost does not deliver probabilities
- For the two-class case one can show that

$$\boldsymbol{C} = sign\{\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x})\} = sign\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \alpha_{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{h}_{m}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)$$

leads to the following interpretation of $H(\mathbf{x})$: $H(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \ln \left\{ \frac{P(C = 1 | \mathbf{x})}{P(C = -1 | \mathbf{x})} \right\}$

• Therefore, using the logistic Sigmoid function σ_1

$$P(C=1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-2\cdot H(\mathbf{x})}} = \sigma(2\cdot H(\mathbf{x}))$$



AdaBoost: Discussion

- AdaBoost can improve the quality of the results significantly above those of the weak classifiers
- AdaBoost is regarded as a very good and fast classifier that is easy to apply
- AdaBoost actually describes a family of classifiers ("meta classifier")
- There are theoretical connections, e.g., to SVM
- AdaBoost is a bit slower than random forests
- AdaBoost can have problems with noisy training data
- Derivation of probabilities is not as clear as with RF



